NAVIGATION

- GO Customize Threshold Requirements
- GO Filter Rating Factors
- GO Customize Renewal/Expansion Project Rating Tool
- GO Customize New Project Rating Tool

CUSTOMIZE NEW AND RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT THRESHOLD REQUIREMENTS

CoC	Threshold Requirements (Delete the X in the box next to any requirements you do not wish to include.)
Х	Coordinated Entry Participation
Х	Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation
Х	Documented, secured minimum match
Х	Project has reasonable costs per permanent housing exit, as defined locally
Х	Project is financially feasible
Х	Applicant is active CoC participant
Х	Application is complete and data are consistent
Х	Bed/unit utilization rate at or above 90%
Х	Acceptable organizational audit/financial review
Х	CoC Membership is current
Х	100% of clients must be HUD Category 1 or Category 4

FILTER RATING FACTORS

Select project type to edit

Select special population

Factor/Goal

Select...

Performance Measures

Length of Stay

 ${\it Using these drop-down\ menus, select\ which\ rating\ factors\ to\ show\ and\ customize}$

Select...

Max Point Value

CUSTOMIZE RENEWAL/EXPANSION PROJECT RATING TOOL

Delete the X in the box besides any rating factor below that you do not wish to include. If desired, adjust the factor/goal and point value for each measure. You can add additional locally-defined criteria below. See the Data Source Chart for information about where to obtain data to use in scoring.

Х	RRH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	45	days	15	points
Х	RRH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	60	_days	15	_points
Х	PSH (General) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	60	_days	15	points
Х	PSH (DV) - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	60	days	15	points
Х	TH (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	_days	20	points
Х	TH (DV) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	days	20	points
Х	TH+RRH (General) - TH Component (General) - On average, participants stay in project XX days	180	_days	10	_points
_	TH+RRH (DV) - TH Component - On average, participants stay in project XX days				
х	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in	15	_days	10	_points
	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - On average, participants spend XX days from project entry to residential move-in				
Evi+	s to Permanent Housing				
X	RRH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80	%	30	points
Х	RRH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	80	- %	25	_ · points
Х	PSH (General) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90	- %	25	– points
Х	PSH (DV) - Minimum percent remain in or move to permanent housing	90	- %	25	– points
Х	TH (General) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	_ %	25	_ points
Х	TH (DV) - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	_ %	25	points
Х	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	_%	25	points
Х	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent move to permanent housing	90	_%	25	points

Returns to Homelessness (if data is available for project)	20	%	1F noints
X RRH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	30	%	15 points 10 points
X RRH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10	%	·
X PSH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20	%	15 points 10 points
X PSH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing			 '
X TH (General) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		%	15 points
X TH (DV) - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing		%	points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	10	%	points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Maximum percent of participants return to homelessness within 12 months of exit to permanent housing	20	%	10 points
New or Increased Income and Earned Income			
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	20	%	2.5 points
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	20	%	2.5points
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	20	%	2.5 points
X PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	20	%	2.5points
TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	20	%	2.5 points
X TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	20	%	2.5points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	20	%	2.5 points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project stayers	20	%	2.5 points
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	35	%	2.5 points
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	35	%	2.5points
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	35	%	2.5points
X PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	35	%	2.5 points
X TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	35	%	
X TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	35	%	2.5 points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	35	%	2.5 points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project stayers	35	%	2.5 points
RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	20	%	2.5 points
RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	20	%	2.5 points
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	20	%	2.5 points
X PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	20	%	2.5 points
X TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers	20	%	2.5 points
X TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		%	
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		%	points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased earned income for project leavers		%	points
X RRH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	points
X RRH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5 points
X PSH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5 points
X PSH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	
X TH (General) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5 points
X TH (DV) - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5 points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5 points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Minimum percent of participants with new or increased non-employment income for project leavers	25	%	2.5 points
Serve High Need Populations (select from drop-down menu)			
x Project focuses on chronically homeless people			
X RRH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	Q.F.	%	20 noints
	85		
X RRH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		%	points
X PSH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	90	%	points
X PSH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		%	points
X TH (General) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	95	%	20 points
X TH (DV) - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		%	points
X TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless	50	%	points
X TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - XX% of participants are chronically homeless		%	points
Post of Effect to account			
Project Effectiveness			
X RRH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes		20 points
X RRH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes		10 points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

Х	PSH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	_points
Х	PSH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	_points
X	TH (General) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	_ points
Х	TH (DV) - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	_ points
Х	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	20	_points
Х	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Costs are within local average cost per positive housing exit for project type	Yes	10	_ points
Х	RRH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	10	points _
Х	RRH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	10	_ points
Х	PSH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	10	_ points
Х	PSH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	%	10	_ points
Х	TH (General) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	10	_ points
Х	TH (DV) - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	10	_points
Х	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV	100 %	10	points
Х	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries to project from CE referral (or alternative system for DV projects)	100 %	10	points
x	RRH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of	Yes	10	points
Х	project policies and procedures RRH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
	PSH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project			-
Х	policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
Х	PSH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
Х	TH (General) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project			_
	policies and procedures	Yes	10	_ points
Х	TH (DV) - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	_points
Х	TH+RRH (General) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation (General) - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
Х	TH+RRH (DV) - RRH Component - Housing First and/or Low Barrier Implementation - CoC assessment of fidelity to Housing First from CoC monitoring or review of project policies and procedures	Yes	10	points
Age	uity Factors ncy Leadership, Governance, and Policies			
Age		Yes	10	_points
X X	ency Leadership, Governance, and Policies	Yes	10	points points
X X	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions		10 10	- '
X	Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience	Yes	10	points
X X X	Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience	Yes Yes	10 10	points points
X X X	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers	Yes Yes	10 10	points points
X X X Pro	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers gram Participant Outcomes	Yes Yes Yes	10 10 10	points points points points
Age X X X Pro	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers gram Participant Outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age	Yes Yes Yes Yes	10 10 10	points points points points
Age X X X X Pro	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers gram Participant Outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10 10 10 10	points points points points points
Age X X X X Pro X X X	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers gram Participant Outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10 10 10 10	points points points points points
Age X X X X Prop	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers gram Participant Outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10 10 10 10	points points points points points
Age X X X X Pro X X X	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers gram Participant Outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu)	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10 10 10 10	points points points points points
Age X X X X Prop X X X X	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers gram Participant Outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	points points points points points points points points
Age X X X X Proj X X X X X	Recipient has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions Recipient's board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience Recipient has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers gram Participant Outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards Project is operating in compliance with	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes A the Final Equity rule reg	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	points points points points points points points points
Age X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) Project is operating in compliance with Gender Identity Project is operating in compliance with Project is operating in Compl	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes A the Final Equity rule reg	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	points points points points points points points points
Age X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) Project is operating in compliance with Gender Identity Project is operating in compliance with Project is operating in Compl	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes A the Final Equity rule reg	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	points points points points points points points points
Age X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) Project is operating in compliance with Gender Identity Project is operating in compliance with Project is operating in Compl	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes A the Final Equity rule reg	10 10 10 10 10 10 10	points points points points points points points points
Age X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards Family Seperation Project is operating in compliance with God Definition of Homelessness 100% of program participants	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes A the Final Equity rule reg The HUD definition	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 15 15 30	points
Age X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X X	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards Family Seperation Project is operating in compliance with God Definition of Homelessness 100% of program participants	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes A the Final Equity rule regulation the Final Equity rule regulation and the Final Equity rule regulation meet the HUD definition RRH-General projects:	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 15 15 15 30	points
Age X X X X Prop	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards Family Seperation Project is operating in compliance with God Definition of Homelessness 100% of program participants	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Res Yes Res Yes Res Res Res Res Res Res Res Res Res R	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 15 15 15 260 220	points
Age X X X X Prop	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards Family Seperation Project is operating in compliance with God Definition of Homelessness 100% of program participants	Yes	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 15 15 30 260 220 255	points
Age X X X X Prop	Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not impose undue barriers Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes Recipient has reviewed program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age Recipient has identified programmatic changes needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes Recipient is working with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age her and Local Criteria (select from drop-down menu) Project is operating in conformance with CoC Standards Family Seperation Project is operating in compliance with God Definition of Homelessness 100% of program participants	Yes	10 10 10 10 10 10 10 15 15 30 260 220 255 220	points

CUSTOMIZE NEW PROJECT RATING TOOL

TH+RRH-General projects: 260 points
TH+RRH-DV projects: 205 points

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

Experience	Factor/Goal	Max Poin	t Value
General-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population of people with severe service needs as defined in the NOFO FR-6500-N-25S.	-	15	_points
DV-A. Describe the experience of the applicant and sub-recipients (if any) in working with the proposed population of people with severe service needs as defined in the NOFO FR-6500-N-25S.	_	15	_points
General-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal record (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	ds	10	_points
DV-B. Describe experience with utilizing a Housing First approach. Include 1) eligibility criteria; 2) process for accepting new clients; 3) process and criteria for exiting clients. Must demonstrate there are no preconditions to entry, allowing entry regardless of current or past substance abuse, income, criminal records (with exceptions of restrictions imposed by federal, state, or local law or ordinance), marital status, familial status, self-disclosed or perceived sexual orientation gender identity or gender expression. Must demonstrate the project has a process to address situations that may jeopardize housing or project assistance to ensure that project participation is terminated in only the most severe cases.	ı, _	10	_ points
General-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performance for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and timely submission of required reporting on existing grants.	-	5	_points
DV-C. Describe experience in effectively utilizing federal funds including HUD grants and other public funding, including satisfactory drawdowns and performan for existing grants as evidenced by timely reimbursement of subrecipients (if applicable), regular drawdowns, timely resolution of monitoring findings, and time submission of required reporting on existing grants.		5	_points
Design of Housing & Supportive Services			
General-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.	n -	15	_points
DV-A. Extent to which the applicant 1) Demonstrates understanding of the needs of the clients to be served. 2) Demonstrates that type, scale, and location of the housing fit the needs of the clients to be served. 3) Demonstrates that type and scale of the all supportive services, regardless of funding source, meets the needs of clients to be served. 4) Demonstrates how clients will be assisted in obtaining mainstream benefits. 5) Establishes performances measures for housing and income that are objective, measurable, trackable and meet or exceed any established HUD or CoC benchmarks.		15	_points
X General-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their need	ls	10	_points
X DV-B. Describe the plan to assist clients to rapidly secure and maintain permanent housing that is safe, affordable, accessible, and acceptable to their needs.	-	10	_points
X General-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	_	5	_ points
X DV-C. Describe how clients will be assisted to increase employment and/or income and to maximize their ability to live independently.	_	5	points
X General-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	_	15	_ points
X DV-D. Project leverages housing resources with housing subsidies or units not funded through the CoC or ESG programs.	_	15	_ points
X General-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	-	15	_ points
X DV-E. Project leverages health resources, including a partnership commitment with a healthcare organization.	_	15	_ points
Timeliness			
General-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	_	5	_ points
DV-A. Describe plan for rapid implementation of the program, documenting how the project will be ready to begin housing the first program participant. Provide a detailed schedule of proposed activities for 60 days, 120 days, and 180 days after grant award.	de –	5	_points
Financial			
X General-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	-	5	points
X DV-A. Project is cost-effective when projected cost per person served is compared to CoC average within project type.	_	5	points
X General-B. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements.	-	5	_ points
X DV-B. Documented match amount meets HUD requirements. X General-C. Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable.	_	5 20	points points
X DV-C Budgeted costs are reasonable, allocable, and allowable	_	20	noints

CUSTOMIZE RATING CRITERIA

Project Effectiveness
X General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries proj
X DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected
Equity Factors
Agency Leadership Governance and Policies

Х	General-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	100	_%	5	points
Х	DV-Coordinated Entry Participation- Minimum percent of entries projected to come from CE referrals	100	%	5	points
			_	·	_

	ncy Leadership, Governance, and Policies
Х	New project has under-representated individuals (BIPOC, LGBTQ+, etc) in managerial and leadership positions as dictated by CoC P&P's

Yes 10 X New project's organizational board of directors includes representation from more than one person with lived experience (per 578.75(g)) points New project has relational process for receiving and incorporating feedback from persons with lived experience Yes 20 points

New project has reviewed internal policies and procedures with an equity lens and has a plan for developing and implementing equitable policies that do not Yes 10 points impose undue barriers that exacerbate disparities and outcomes

Program Participant Outcomes

New project describes their plan for reviewing program participant outcomes with an equity lens, including the disaggregation of data by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and/or age. If already implementing a plan, describe findings from outcomes review

10 points

10

points

Yes

New project describes plan to review whether programmatic changes are needed to make program participant outcomes more equitable and developed a plan to make those changes. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review

10 points

New project describes plan to work with HMIS lead to develop a schedule for reviewing HMIS data with disaggregation by race, ethnicity, gender identity, and or/age. If already implementing plan, describe findings from review

10 points

Other and Local Criteria

X Project is operating in compliance with the Final Equal Access rule regarding Family Separation X Project is operating in compliance with the Final Equal Access rule regarding Gender Identity

15 points points

Total Maximum Score General projects: 240 points DV projects: 240 points